International Journal of Modern Developments in Engineering and Science
Volume 4, Issue 4, April 2025
https://www.ijmdes.com | ISSN (Online): 2583-3138

Artificial Intelligence Applications in Undergraduate Engineering Education: A Literature Review

Thanh Tung Pham*†‡

†School of Civil Engineering and Management, International University, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam

‡Vietnam National University, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam

***Abstract*: Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming undergraduate engineering education, offering new tools to personalize learning, improve feedback, and scale instruction. This literature review synthesizes recent developments across five key application areas: intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, AI-assisted assessment, virtual laboratories, and AI-driven career and communication support. These technologies have shown promise in enhancing student engagement, tailoring content delivery, and supporting data-informed teaching practices. However, their integration also raises concerns about ethical use, data privacy, algorithmic bias, and faculty preparedness. The review identifies six critical gaps in current research, including the lack of longitudinal studies, limited focus on higher-order thinking skills, and underrepresentation of certain engineering fields. It concludes by outlining strategic directions for responsible AI integration, emphasizing ethical design, inclusive access, and stronger support for educators. As AI continues to evolve, its thoughtful implementation holds the potential to significantly enrich engineering education and better prepare students for future challenges.**

***Keywords*: Artificial Intelligence, Engineering Education, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Adaptive Learning, AI Ethics.**

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence is rapidly changing the landscape of higher education. It offers new ways for students to learn, for teachers to teach, and for institutions to manage learning processes. AI technologies—such as machine learning, natural language processing, and intelligent systems—are increasingly being used in classrooms to provide personalized learning experiences, faster feedback, and automation of routine tasks. These tools are especially useful in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) education, where students often face challenges with complex and abstract subjects [1].

Engineering education, in particular, is well-suited to benefit from AI. It focuses heavily on technical problem-solving, analytical thinking, and hands-on practice. AI tools can support these goals by offering real-time feedback, virtual simulations, and customized learning paths. For example, Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) like AutoTutor or EER-Tutor act like personal tutors, helping students solve difficult problems step by step [2]. Adaptive platforms such as ALEKS adjust the difficulty of questions based on student performance, making learning more effective [3]. In addition, AI-powered virtual labs—like those offered by Labster—allow students to conduct experiments in a simulated environment, helping them develop practical skills even without physical lab access [4].

Beyond content delivery, AI also helps educators track student progress and identify those at risk of falling behind through learning analytics tools [5]. Writing tools like Grammarly and AI chat systems like ChatGPT support students in improving their communication skills, especially in technical writing. Career guidance systems powered by AI can also suggest courses, internships, or job options based on a student's profile and goals [6]. However, these benefits also raise important questions. How reliable are AI systems in making educational decisions? Are students and teachers ready to use them effectively? What are the risks related to data privacy and fairness? [7]

This literature review explores how AI is being used to support undergraduate engineering students. It looks at different types of AI tools, their role in teaching and learning, the benefits they offer, and the challenges they present. It also highlights areas where more research is needed. The goal is to provide insights that can help educators, researchers, and policymakers make informed decisions about using AI to enhance engineering education.

2. Objectives and Scope

The primary objective of this literature review is to critically examine the current landscape of Artificial Intelligence (AI) applications within undergraduate engineering education. It aims to map out the types of AI technologies being used, evaluate their pedagogical roles, and analyze their documented benefits and limitations. Given the rapid advancement of AI in educational technology, it is essential to systematically explore how these tools are transforming learning experiences, teaching strategies, and assessment practices specific to engineering students [8].

*Corresponding author: pttung@hcmiu.edu.vn

Engineering education presents unique characteristics compared to other disciplines: it is heavily grounded in technical knowledge, problem-based learning, design thinking, and often includes the integration of laboratory work and teamwork. This makes it a rich context for evaluating AI's potential and limitations [9]. Therefore, this review pays particular attention to how AI supports the development of domain-specific skills in areas such as mathematics, physics, mechanics, coding, and design simulation. It also considers the role of AI in addressing broader educational goals, such as improving motivation, supporting diverse learning styles, and enhancing equity and access in engineering programs [10].

The scope of this review is limited to peer-reviewed academic publications, case studies, and key reports from the past decade (2013–2024), with an emphasis on research that focuses on undergraduate students in engineering or closely related STEM fields [11]. The review encompasses global studies but highlights examples relevant to both developed and developing educational systems, including those with limited infrastructure, to ensure a more inclusive perspective [12]. Applications of AI in postgraduate research training, general K-12 education, or corporate upskilling contexts are excluded unless they directly inform undergraduate engineering practices [13], [14].

Ultimately, this literature review seeks to provide educators, curriculum designers, institutional leaders, and educational technologists with a consolidated understanding of AI's evolving role in engineering education. It aspires to guide future research, inform policy-making, and support the responsible integration of AI into undergraduate teaching and learning environments.

3. Conceptual Framework

This section lays the theoretical foundation for understanding how Artificial Intelligence (AI) can be applied in undergraduate engineering education. It defines core concepts, categorizes AI technologies used in teaching and learning, discusses the unique nature of engineering education, and explores the learning theories that support the use of AI in this domain.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to the ability of computer systems to perform tasks that typically require human intelligence—such as learning, reasoning, decision-making, and understanding natural language [15]. In education, AI is used to enhance teaching and learning through personalization, automation, and prediction. Educational AI systems are designed not only to deliver content but also to adapt to student behavior, provide timely feedback, and assist educators in monitoring progress [12].

AI tools in education can be categorized into several functional groups: personalized content delivery platforms like ALEKS and Knewton; automated assessment systems such as Gradescope and Turnitin; NLP-based learning support tools like Grammarly and ChatGPT; educational analytics dashboards that support instructor interventions; and simulation environments for practical learning [16].

Engineering education differs from other disciplines due to its emphasis on applied problem-solving, mathematical modeling, teamwork, and practical experimentation. As such, AI systems must not only present content but also support hands-on simulation, conceptual reasoning, and collaboration. Tools like MATLAB Simulink or Labster have been successfully integrated into engineering courses to simulate real-world systems [9].

The integration of AI in education is supported by various learning theories. Constructivism underpins interactive tools like simulations; cognitivism supports intelligent tutoring systems that model student thought processes; connectivism informs the role of AI as a node in a student's learning network [17]; and self-regulated learning (SRL) frameworks are reflected in personalized dashboards and feedback mechanisms [18].

4. AI Applications in Undergraduate Engineering Education

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into undergraduate engineering education offers a wide range of benefits that extend beyond automation and efficiency. AI has the potential to significantly improve the quality of instruction, increase student engagement, and support institutional decision-making. While many of these technologies are still evolving, their growing presence in higher education reveals promising opportunities for enhancing both learning outcomes and the broader educational ecosystem.

One of the most widely cited benefits of AI in engineering education is personalized learning. Unlike traditional one-size-fits-all teaching approaches, AI systems—such as adaptive learning platforms and intelligent tutoring systems—are capable of analyzing a student's performance in real time and customizing content accordingly [3]. This personalization supports mastery learning, allowing students to progress at their own pace while focusing on specific areas of difficulty. For engineering students, who often face steep learning curves in subjects like calculus, mechanics, or thermodynamics, such personalized instruction can lead to improved comprehension, reduced frustration, and greater academic confidence [2].

AI also enhances student engagement and motivation, particularly through interactive simulations, gamified environments, and real-time feedback. For example, virtual laboratories powered by AI not only replicate real-world experiments but also provide students with opportunities to explore concepts repeatedly in a risk-free and flexible setting [9]. These environments are especially useful for visual and kinesthetic learners, who benefit from hands-on and exploratory approaches to learning—approaches that traditional lectures may not always provide.

From an instructional perspective, AI contributes to efficiency and scalability. Automated grading systems and feedback tools enable instructors to manage large classes more effectively, freeing up time for more meaningful student interaction and curriculum development. AI-based learning analytics tools further allow instructors to monitor student progress, detect early signs of disengagement, and adapt their teaching strategies accordingly [5]. This data-informed approach not only improves pedagogical effectiveness but also helps educators make more equitable decisions, such as identifying and supporting at-risk students.

At the institutional level, AI opens up new possibilities for data-driven decision-making and curriculum planning. Administrators and academic advisors can use AI-powered dashboards and predictive models to forecast enrollment trends, assess course effectiveness, and optimize resource allocation. Additionally, career support tools that use AI to recommend academic pathways, internships, and certifications help students align their studies with industry expectations, enhancing employability and lifelong learning [6].

Finally, AI holds potential for improving access and inclusion. By offering flexible, self-paced learning options and multilingual support, AI tools can accommodate students with diverse learning needs and backgrounds. This is particularly relevant in global engineering education, where learners may be spread across geographies or studying under varying infrastructural conditions. AI's ability to bridge educational gaps offers institutions an opportunity to broaden participation in STEM fields and promote educational equity [19].

5. Challenges and Concerns in AI Integration in Undergraduate Engineering Education

While Artificial Intelligence presents numerous benefits in undergraduate engineering education, its integration is not without challenges. As AI technologies become more deeply embedded in teaching, learning, and institutional decision-making, educators and policymakers must grapple with a range of concerns. These include ethical considerations, technological limitations, equity issues, and resistance from both faculty and students. Understanding these challenges is crucial for ensuring that AI enhances, rather than undermines, the educational experience.

One of the most pressing concerns is related to data privacy and ethical use. AI systems require large amounts of student data to function effectively—data that includes academic records, engagement metrics, behavioral patterns, and even biometric information in the case of intelligent proctoring systems. While this data enables powerful personalization and predictive analytics, it also raises serious concerns about consent, data ownership, and potential misuse [20]. Institutions must implement strict data governance policies and ensure transparency in how data is collected, stored, and used, especially when involving third-party AI providers.

Another significant issue is the risk of algorithmic bias and unfair decision-making. AI tools are only as objective as the data and assumptions they are trained on. If these systems are built using biased datasets or lack contextual awareness, they may reinforce existing inequalities—for example, by misidentifying at-risk students or unfairly grading non-standard answers. In the context of engineering education, where diversity is already a challenge, such biases can further marginalize underrepresented groups [21]. Addressing this concern requires more inclusive data collection, ethical AI development practices, and regular auditing of AI tools used in academic settings.

Over-reliance on AI systems poses another pedagogical concern. While AI can automate many aspects of instruction and assessment, there is a risk that students become passive recipients of machine-driven content, leading to a decline in critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Similarly, educators may rely too heavily on AI for grading or instructional decisions, diminishing the role of human judgment and the relational aspects of teaching [22]. AI should therefore be viewed as a supplement to—not a replacement for—human educators, particularly in disciplines like engineering where mentorship and hands-on collaboration play a critical role.

Additionally, technology readiness and digital literacy gaps remain significant barriers to effective AI adoption. Not all students or faculty possess the skills needed to interact with AI-based platforms effectively. Some may lack access to high-speed internet or advanced devices, especially in developing regions or during periods of remote learning. This digital divide can widen existing disparities in education, limiting the benefits of AI to more privileged student populations [12]. Institutions must therefore invest in training programs and infrastructure upgrades to ensure equitable access to AI tools.

Moreover, there is institutional resistance and implementation fatigue, often driven by a lack of trust, awareness, or technical support. Faculty may be hesitant to integrate AI into their teaching due to concerns about academic freedom, workload increases, or the perceived loss of instructional autonomy. Moreover, the rapid pace of technological change can overwhelm academic departments that lack dedicated support staff or clear guidelines for adoption [19]. Addressing these challenges requires strategic leadership, stakeholder engagement, and the inclusion of educators in AI planning and development processes.

6. Gaps in the Literature

Despite the growing body of research on artificial intelligence in education, significant gaps remain, particularly in the context of undergraduate engineering programs. While many studies highlight the potential of AI to transform teaching and learning processes, the literature often lacks depth in evaluating long-term impacts, diverse learner experiences, and discipline-specific effectiveness. Identifying these gaps is essential to guide future research and ensure that AI is implemented thoughtfully and equitably across engineering education contexts.

One notable gap is the limited number of empirical studies focused specifically on undergraduate engineering students. Much of the existing literature takes a broad approach, examining AI in higher education or STEM education in general without addressing the unique cognitive and practical demands of engineering programs. For example, while studies confirm the effectiveness of intelligent tutoring systems or adaptive platforms in general education settings, there is less evidence on how these tools support the development of higher-order engineering competencies such as systems thinking, ethical reasoning, or collaborative design [23].

Another underexplored area involves longitudinal research assessing the sustained effects of AI on student learning, retention, and employability. Most available studies evaluate short-term academic outcomes, such as test scores or engagement metrics, but fail to track whether AI-supported learning leads to better problem-solving skills, long-term knowledge retention, or improved career readiness. As engineering education increasingly aligns with lifelong learning and workforce preparation, these longitudinal insights are critical for assessing the true value of AI interventions [19].

There is also a lack of research addressing diversity, equity, and inclusion in AI-enhanced learning environments. While some literature acknowledges the potential of AI to support learners with disabilities or those from non-traditional backgrounds, few studies explicitly investigate how gender, language, or socioeconomic factors affect students' access to and outcomes from AI tools. This gap is particularly concerning in engineering, where underrepresentation of certain groups is a persistent issue. Future research must prioritize inclusive design and evaluation of AI tools to ensure they serve all students equitably [24].

Moreover, contextual differences across geographic and institutional settings are frequently overlooked. Most studies on AI in education are concentrated in high-income countries with advanced digital infrastructure, leaving a dearth of research on how AI functions in resource-limited environments or in institutions with different pedagogical traditions. Engineering programs in developing regions may face unique challenges in implementing AI—ranging from internet access to faculty training—which are rarely addressed in mainstream literature [25].

Additionally, interdisciplinary frameworks for integrating AI into engineering curricula remain underdeveloped. While AI tools are often introduced as supplementary technologies, few studies explore how they can be embedded into core courses, capstone projects, or engineering design studios in a way that aligns with educational outcomes and accreditation standards. As engineering education increasingly incorporates emerging technologies, it is important to investigate how AI can be integrated not just as a tool for learning, but as a subject of study and critical reflection [22].

7. Future Directions

As Artificial Intelligence continues to evolve, its role in undergraduate engineering education is expected to deepen and diversify. While current applications have focused on enhancing instruction and automating assessment, future developments are likely to emphasize ethical integration, interdisciplinary alignment, and greater student agency. This section highlights several promising directions for research, development, and practice that can shape the next phase of AI adoption in engineering education.

One key direction is the integration of AI with ethical and responsible engineering education. As future engineers will not only use but also design intelligent systems, it is essential that AI be embedded into the curriculum not just as a tool, but also as a topic of critical inquiry. Educators should consider incorporating modules on algorithmic fairness, data ethics, and human-AI collaboration within engineering design courses and capstone projects. This dual emphasis—on using and critiquing AI—can prepare students to navigate the complex ethical dimensions of technology in real-world contexts [26].

Another important area of development is the co-creation of AI tools by educators and students. Many AI platforms used in education are developed by commercial providers with limited input from faculty or learners. To ensure alignment with pedagogical goals, future efforts should prioritize participatory design approaches where instructors, instructional designers, and students collaborate in the development or customization of AI systems. This co-creation process can help ensure that AI tools are not only technically functional but also contextually relevant and pedagogically sound [12].

Moreover, future implementations should aim to build AI-enhanced learning ecosystems rather than isolated tools. Current use of AI in education is often fragmented, with different systems handling tutoring, grading, analytics, and career support separately. An integrated AI ecosystem could provide a seamless learning experience, combining formative feedback, adaptive learning, and competency mapping within a single platform. This ecosystem could also support continuous data flows across courses and departments, enabling more personalized academic advising and curriculum optimization [5].

Teacher training and institutional capacity building will be critical to scaling these innovations. While AI tools offer considerable promise, their success depends on faculty readiness and institutional support. Future strategies must include professional development programs that help instructors understand how AI works, how to interpret analytics, and how to integrate AI meaningfully into their teaching. Institutions should also establish ethical review boards, IT support systems, and data governance policies to manage the complexities associated with AI use in education [6].

Also, future research should expand the evidence base for AI's long-term effectiveness. More rigorous, interdisciplinary, and longitudinal studies are needed to assess how AI affects learning outcomes, motivation, retention, equity, and employability—particularly in engineering disciplines where both cognitive and practical skills are essential. Comparative studies across institutions, cultures, and delivery modes (online, blended, in-person) can also shed light on how context influences AI adoption and impact [27].

In conclusion, the future of AI in undergraduate engineering education lies not just in technical sophistication, but in thoughtful, inclusive, and strategic integration. As educators, institutions, and researchers work together to refine these technologies, the ultimate goal should be to create AI-enhanced environments that empower both students and teachers—supporting deeper learning, ethical practice, and professional readiness.

8. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence is reshaping the landscape of higher education, offering new possibilities for personalization, automation, and decision-making across disciplines. In the context of undergraduate engineering education, AI presents unique opportunities to address long-standing pedagogical challenges, from high student-to-teacher ratios and abstract subject matter to uneven access to hands-on resources. Through applications such as intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, automated feedback tools, and virtual laboratories, AI has begun to support more responsive, inclusive, and engaging learning environments.

The literature reviewed in this study demonstrates that AI technologies can enhance instructional efficiency, support student self-regulation, and improve learning outcomes in complex engineering domains. Moreover, the integration of AI can extend beyond technical enhancement, contributing to educational equity by supporting diverse learner needs and offering flexible, scalable instructional models. However, the transformative potential of AI must be approached with caution and critical awareness. Challenges related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, overreliance on automation, and digital inequity continue to raise important ethical and practical questions.

Significant gaps in the current research also remain. Few studies focus explicitly on undergraduate engineering students, and even fewer examine the long-term effects of AI interventions on learning trajectories and professional development. The lack of diversity in institutional and geographic contexts, along with limited inquiry into inclusive design and interdisciplinary integration, further limits our understanding of AI's broader impact. Addressing these gaps is essential to developing responsible, evidence-based strategies for AI adoption.

Looking ahead, the future of AI in engineering education depends not only on technological advancement but also on inclusive, strategic, and pedagogically grounded implementation. Educators must be supported in integrating AI tools in meaningful ways, and students must be engaged not just as users of AI, but as future designers and critical evaluators of these technologies. With thoughtful planning, collaborative development, and a commitment to ethics and equity, AI has the potential to significantly enrich engineering education and prepare students for the complex demands of a rapidly evolving technological world.

References

[1] Almalki, A., Aziz, M. A., & Hussain, F. K. (2021). A systematic literature review on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – A roadmap for future research. Education and Information Technologies, 26, 4795–4833.

[2] Beaudoin, J. P., & Dagher, M. (2023). Enhancing engineering labs with AI-driven simulations: A pedagogical framework. International Journal of Engineering Education, 39(2), 320–331.

[3] Berg, L. (2020). Virtual and remote labs in engineering education: The role of artificial intelligence. Journal of Online Learning Research and Practice, 8(1), 45–58.

[4] Binns, R., Veale, M., Van Kleek, M., & Shadbolt, N. (2018). 'It's reducing a human being to a percentage': Perceptions of justice in algorithmic decisions. Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 1–14.

[5] Chen, X., Zou, D., Xie, H., & Wang, F. L. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. IEEE Access, 8, 75264–75278.

[6] Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y.-K. (2020). Utilising learning analytics for study success: Reflections on current empirical findings. Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 15(1), 1–15.

[7] Johnson, J., Hart, D., & Rader, C. (2022). Adaptive learning in introductory engineering courses: Impacts on motivation and performance. ASEE Annual Conference Proceedings.

[8] Rolim, V., Faulkner, M., & Noroozi, O. (2022). Artificial intelligence in higher education: A bibliometric analysis. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3, 100069.

[9] VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. Educational Psychologist, 46(4), 197–221.

[10] Almatrafi, O., & Johri, A. (2021). Artificial intelligence in higher education: A systematic review of empirical studies from 2011 to 2020. Education and Information Technologies, 26(6), 6451–6475.

[11] Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. IEEE Access, 8, 75264–75278.

[12] Rolim, V., Faulkner, M., & Noroozi, O. (2022). Artificial intelligence in higher education: A bibliometric analysis. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3, 100069.

[13] Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education. Pearson Education.

[14] Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – Where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 1–27.

[15] Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y.-K. (2020). Utilising learning analytics for study success: Reflections on current empirical findings. Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 15(1), 1–15.

[16] Beaudoin, J. P., & Dagher, M. (2023). Enhancing engineering labs with AI-driven simulations: A pedagogical framework. International Journal of Engineering Education, 39(2), 320–331.

[17] Almalki, A., Aziz, M. A., & Hussain, F. K. (2021). A systematic literature review on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – A roadmap for future research. Education and Information Technologies, 26, 4795–4833.

[18] Anderson, J. R., Corbett, A. T., Koedinger, K. R., & Pelletier, R. (1995). Cognitive tutors: Lessons learned. The Journal of the Learning Sciences, 4(2), 167–207.

[19] Beaudoin, J. P., & Dagher, M. (2023). Enhancing engineering labs with AI-driven simulations: A pedagogical framework. International Journal of Engineering Education, 39(2), 320–331.

[20] Berg, L. (2020). Virtual and remote labs in engineering education: The role of artificial intelligence. Journal of Online Learning Research and Practice, 8(1), 45–58.

[21] Chen, X., Zou, D., Xie, H., & Wang, F. L. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. IEEE Access, 8, 75264–75278.

[22] Felder, R. M., & Silverman, L. K. (1988). Learning and teaching styles in engineering education. Engineering Education, 78(7), 674–681.

[23] Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

[24] Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y.-K. (2020). Utilising learning analytics for study success: Reflections on current empirical findings. Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 15(1), 1–15.

[25] Kovanović, V., Gašević, D., Joksimović, S., Siemens, G., & Hatala, M. (2015). What public media reveals about MOOCs: A systematic analysis of news reports. British Journal of Educational Technology, 46(3), 510–527.

[26] Piaget, J. (1972). The psychology of the child. Basic Books.

[27] Rolim, V., Faulkner, M., & Noroozi, O. (2022). Artificial intelligence in higher education: A bibliometric analysis. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3, 100069.