International Journal of Modern Developments in Engineering and Science
Volume 5, Issue 7, July 2026
www.ijmdes.com | E-ISSN: 2583-3138 | RESAIM Publishing | www.resaim.com

Military Unification and the Idea of World-State: A Critical Analysis of Sri Aurobindo's Perspective on Global Peace and Human Unity

Anurag Kumar[1], Taniya Kumari[1], Sachin Kumar Pal[1], Shilpa Bhagat[1*]
[1]*Department of Educational Studies, Central University of Jammu, Samba, India*

***Abstract*: This paper critically examines the idea of military unification as presented in Sri Aurobindo's *The Ideal of Human Unity*. The study explores how military centralization has historically contributed to national integration and how, at the global level, it may become a necessary condition for achieving lasting peace. Aurobindo argues that national egoism, driven by political, economic, and cultural expansion, inevitably leads to conflict, making war an almost unavoidable feature of human civilization (Aurobindo, 1997). The paper analyses the limitations of proposed solutions such as democratic leagues and international agreements, highlighting their inability to overcome deep-rooted psychological and structural causes of war. Through a conceptual and philosophical methodology, supported by insights from *The Human Cycle* and *The Life Divine*, the study evaluates the feasibility of a World-State possessing centralized military authority (Aurobindo, 1997; Aurobindo, 1999). The findings suggest that while military unification may ensure external peace, it does not address the inner transformation required for true human unity. The paper concludes that sustainable global harmony depends not only on institutional arrangements but also on a shift in human consciousness beyond egoistic nationalism.**

***Keywords*: Sri Aurobindo, Human Unity, Human Civilization, Life Divine, Transformation, Human consciousness.**

1. Introduction

The pursuit of global peace has emerged as one of the most enduring challenges confronting humanity. Despite unprecedented advances in science, technology, communication, and international cooperation, the contemporary world continues to experience armed conflicts, geopolitical tensions, terrorism, nuclear proliferation, forced migration, and growing ideological polarization. The twenty-first century has witnessed the persistence of interstate and intrastate conflicts despite the existence of international institutions specifically designed to promote collective security and peaceful coexistence. These developments have generated renewed scholarly interest in the conditions necessary for sustainable peace and the possibility of constructing forms of political organization capable of transcending national rivalries.

The question of global peace and unity has been central to political and philosophical discourse.

Sri Aurobindo, in *The Ideal of Human Unity*, presents a profound analysis of the forces shaping human societies and their movement toward unity. He argues that military power has historically played a decisive role in the formation and consolidation of nations (Aurobindo, 1997). Sri Aurobindo redefines peace beyond the absence of conflict. Peace is an inner state of non-violence, harmony, and balance. It manifests when the individual experiences concord between desires, emotions, and reason. This inner peace radiates outwardly, influencing relationships, social interactions, and community values.

Aurobindo extends this logic to the global level, suggesting that a World-State may require centralized military authority to prevent wars between nations. However, he simultaneously critiques the psychological roots of conflict, particularly national egoism and the desire for expansion (Aurobindo, 1997, pp. 476–478). Contemporary discourse on global governance often oscillates between two poles that is between institutional cosmopolitanism, which advocates for supranational legal frameworks like a reformed United Nations or a world federal government, and realist skepticism, which views such ambitions as utopian given persistent national interests and power asymmetries (Giri,2006). "Aurobindo's perspective toward this binary dimension is that the evolution of human consciousness is the indispensable foundation for a resilient design and lasting tranquility. He proposed that the military alliances or security pacts, are capable of producing temporary order that cannot resolve the underlying psychic divisions that fuel conflict (Ulrich,2021). Indeed, history demonstrates that empires built on conquest, from Rome to colonial powers, ultimately collapse under the weight of internal resistance and moral decay, precisely because they failed to cultivate genuine solidarity among their subjects 6. In contrast, Aurobindo envisions a "world-union" characterized by federative principles, cultural pluralism, and a shared commitment to spiritual progress as a model that respects national sovereignty while fostering transnational ethical alignment.

Further, in *The Human Cycle*, Aurobindo emphasizes that human society evolves through psychological stages and true unity can only emerge when humanity transcends egoistic consciousness (Aurobindo, 1997). This paper, therefore,

*Corresponding author: shilpa111bhagat@gmail.com

analyses both the structural and psychological dimensions of global unity.

A. Objectives of the Study

1. To examine Sri Aurobindo's concept of military unification in the formation of nations and its relevance to global unity.
2. To critically analyze the limitations of military and institutional solutions in achieving lasting world peace.

B. Significance of the Study

This study is significant in the contemporary context of global conflicts and geopolitical tensions. It contributes to understanding the philosophical foundations of global governance and unity. It bridges political theory with spiritual philosophy by highlighting that external peace mechanisms alone are insufficient for global unity(Aurobindo, 1999). The study is relevant for stakeholders like, policymaking, and peace studies as it integrates ethical, psychological and political dimensions of human unity.

2. Review of Related Literature

A. Human Unity and Social Evolution in Sri Aurobindo's Thought

The concept of human unity occupies a central position in Sri Aurobindo's political and philosophical writings. Unlike conventional political theorists who analyze social order primarily through institutions, power structures, or legal frameworks, Aurobindo approached human society through the lens of evolutionary consciousness. His political philosophy is inseparable from his broader metaphysical framework, which conceives human history as a progressive movement toward higher forms of collective existence (Aurobindo, 1997).

In *The Ideal of Human Unity*, Aurobindo argues that human societies have historically evolved from small tribal groups to increasingly larger and more complex forms of organization, including kingdoms, empires, and nation-states. This process reflects an inherent tendency toward integration and cooperation, although it is frequently interrupted by conflict and competition. Human unity, therefore, is not merely a political aspiration but an evolutionary possibility rooted in the deeper nature of humanity (Aurobindo, 1997).

Recent scholarship has revisited the contemporary significance of Aurobindo's vision of human unity. Mahapatra (2023) argues that Aurobindo's analysis of international politics anticipated many contemporary debates concerning global governance, transnational cooperation, and the limitations of existing international institutions. By connecting political organization with inner development, Aurobindo offers an alternative framework that transcends the conventional dichotomy between political realism and idealistic cosmopolitanism. Mahapatra further notes that concepts such as the "national ego" and "nation-soul" remain valuable analytical tools for understanding contemporary international relations.

Similarly, Banerji (2013) emphasizes that Aurobindo's conception of nationalism differs significantly from exclusionary or ethnocentric forms of nationalism. Rather than promoting national supremacy, Aurobindo regarded nations as evolving collective entities whose ultimate fulfillment lies in participation within a larger human unity. Banerji's analysis of the "nation-soul" demonstrates that Aurobindo sought to reconcile national individuality with universal human solidarity, thereby avoiding the tension between homogenizing universalism and narrow nationalism.

Scholars have also highlighted the relationship between Aurobindo's political philosophy and his broader theory of social evolution. According to Aurobindo, societies evolve through successive psychological stages characterized by increasing levels of consciousness and collective awareness. This evolutionary perspective distinguishes his work from conventional theories of modernization and political development, which frequently emphasize economic or institutional factors while neglecting psychological and spiritual dimensions.

Consequently, human unity in Aurobindo's thought cannot be reduced to political integration alone. It requires a transformation in consciousness that enables individuals and societies to transcend egoistic identities and recognize their deeper interconnectedness. This synthesis of political organization and spiritual development constitutes one of the most distinctive aspects of his philosophy and forms the foundation for his analysis of peace and conflict.

B. Military Power, Political Integration, and the Formation of States

The relationship between military power and political order has been a central concern in political theory. Classical realist thinkers such as Morgenthau (1948) and later structural realists such as Waltz (1979) argued that political order ultimately rests upon the capacity to exercise and maintain power. From this perspective, military strength functions as a necessary instrument for preserving security and political stability.

Aurobindo's analysis partially converges with this tradition. In *The Ideal of Human Unity*, he acknowledges that military power has historically played a decisive role in the formation and consolidation of political communities. States often emerge through processes of conquest, defense, and political centralization. Military organization provides the coercive capacity necessary to establish order where moral or psychological unity remains insufficient (Aurobindo, 1997).

However, unlike realist theorists, Aurobindo does not regard military power as the ultimate basis of social cohesion. Instead, he views force as a transitional mechanism that becomes necessary only because humanity has not yet achieved higher forms of psychological unity. Mahapatra (2004) argues that Aurobindo's treatment of the nation-state reflects a nuanced understanding of political authority. While recognizing the historical significance of centralized power, he simultaneously emphasizes the limitations of coercive political structures in achieving genuine human unity.

This distinction is particularly significant because it enables Aurobindo to acknowledge the practical realities of political life

without reducing politics to the logic of force. Military unification may suppress conflict, but it cannot eliminate the underlying causes of violence. Consequently, political integration remains incomplete unless accompanied by broader transformations in human consciousness.

C. National Egoism and the Psychology of Conflict

One of Aurobindo's most significant contributions to political thought lies in his psychological interpretation of conflict. Whereas conventional theories frequently attribute war to competition over resources, territory, or security, Aurobindo locates the origins of conflict within collective egoism. Nations, like individuals, develop forms of self-consciousness that may become aggressive, expansionist, and exclusionary.

The concept of national egoism occupies a central place in Aurobindo's explanation of war. Nations often pursue power, prestige, and dominance at the expense of broader human interests. Such behavior transforms political communities into collective egos whose actions mirror the psychological tendencies of individuals. Consequently, conflict persists not merely because of institutional deficiencies but because of deeper psychological structures embedded within human consciousness.

This perspective bears important similarities to developments within peace studies. Johan Galtung's distinction between negative peace and positive peace is particularly relevant. Negative peace refers to the absence of direct violence, whereas positive peace involves the elimination of structural and cultural conditions that generate conflict. Although developed independently, Aurobindo's analysis anticipates aspects of this distinction by emphasizing that peace requires more than the absence of war; it demands transformation of the conditions that produce violence.

Recent scholarship continues to support the importance of psychological dimensions in understanding conflict and cooperation. Studies of identity politics, nationalism, and collective memory increasingly demonstrate that perceptions, narratives, and group identities play a crucial role in shaping international behavior. In this respect, Aurobindo's emphasis on consciousness appears remarkably prescient.

D. World-State, World-Union, and Global Governance

The question of global political organization has become increasingly significant in an era characterized by economic globalization, technological interdependence, climate change, and transnational security challenges. Contemporary debates concerning global governance frequently focus on whether stronger international institutions can effectively address problems that transcend national boundaries.

Cosmopolitan theorists such as Held (2010) and Archibugi (2008; 2018) advocate forms of democratic global governance capable of regulating global affairs while protecting human rights and promoting international cooperation. These scholars argue that traditional nation-state structures are increasingly inadequate for addressing global problems.

Aurobindo anticipated many of these concerns decades earlier. In *The Ideal of Human Unity*, he explored the possibility of a world-state capable of preventing interstate warfare through centralized authority. However, he remained cautious about the dangers of excessive centralization. A coercive world-state could suppress diversity and generate new forms of domination. For this reason, Aurobindo preferred the idea of a "world-union" based on voluntary cooperation, cultural pluralism, and shared ethical commitments.

Mahapatra (2020) argues that Aurobindo's reflections on international organizations remain highly relevant to contemporary discussions of global governance. His critique of international institutions was not directed against cooperation itself but against the assumption that institutional arrangements alone could guarantee peace. Aurobindo recognized the importance of global institutions while simultaneously emphasizing the need for psychological and ethical transformation.

This position differentiates him from many contemporary models of global governance. Whereas institutional approaches often focus on legal frameworks and administrative structures, Aurobindo insists that durable cooperation requires corresponding changes in consciousness. Political integration without psychological transformation remains vulnerable to the resurgence of conflict and competition.

E. Human Unity, Peace Education, and Global Citizenship

Recent scholarship on global citizenship and peace education provides another important context for understanding the contemporary relevance of Aurobindo's thought. Global citizenship education seeks to cultivate awareness of global interdependence, respect for diversity, ethical responsibility, and commitment to collective well-being. These objectives closely resonate with Aurobindo's vision of human unity.

A growing body of literature suggests that education plays a crucial role in fostering global consciousness and intercultural understanding. Bibliometric analyses indicate that global citizenship education has become a major area of research within education for sustainable development, emphasizing interconnectedness, responsibility, and cooperation across cultural boundaries.

Aurobindo's educational philosophy extends beyond the transmission of knowledge toward the development of the whole person. His emphasis on psychological growth, ethical development, and spiritual awareness aligns with contemporary calls for transformative forms of education capable of preparing individuals for an increasingly interconnected world. In this sense, his concept of human unity provides a philosophical foundation for global citizenship that moves beyond legal or political definitions toward deeper forms of human solidarity.

Furthermore, contemporary global challenges—including climate change, pandemics, migration, and emerging technologies—require unprecedented levels of international cooperation. Recent discussions concerning global governance for advanced technologies similarly emphasize the need for institutional cooperation beyond national boundaries. These developments reinforce the relevance of Aurobindo's argument that humanity must develop broader forms of collective consciousness if it is to address problems that transcend

national interests.

F. Research Gap

The existing literature demonstrates growing scholarly interest in Sri Aurobindo's contributions to political philosophy, human unity, and global governance. Scholars have examined his theories of nationalism, social evolution, international organization, and spiritual development. Similarly, extensive research exists on global governance, cosmopolitan democracy, peace studies, and global citizenship.

Nevertheless, a significant gap remains. Much of the literature treats Aurobindo's discussions of military power, human unity, and psychological transformation as separate themes rather than interconnected dimensions of a comprehensive theory of global peace. Existing studies rarely examine how military unification functions simultaneously as a historical necessity, a political mechanism, and a limited solution within Aurobindo's broader vision of human evolution. Furthermore, insufficient attention has been devoted to comparing his analysis of national egoism and consciousness transformation with contemporary debates in peace studies and global governance.

This study addresses this gap by providing an integrated analysis of military unification, national egoism, and human unity within Aurobindo's political philosophy. By situating his thought within contemporary discussions of international relations, global governance, and peace education, the paper seeks to demonstrate the continuing relevance of his vision for addressing the challenges of the twenty-first century.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, conceptual, and interpretive research design to examine Sri Aurobindo's conception of military unification, human unity, and global peace. Given that the study focuses on philosophical texts and normative political ideas rather than empirical variables, a qualitative methodology is most appropriate for exploring the meanings, assumptions, and theoretical implications embedded within Aurobindo's writings (Creswell & Poth, 2018). The research is situated within the tradition of interpretive political theory, which seeks to understand political concepts through careful textual analysis and contextual interpretation rather than through statistical or experimental methods (Bevir & Rhodes, 2016).

A. Sources of Data

The study relies on both primary and secondary sources.

1) Primary Sources

The primary sources consist of Sri Aurobindo's major political and philosophical works:

- Aurobindo, S. (1997). *The Ideal of Human Unity*.
- Aurobindo, S. (1997). *The Human Cycle*.
- Aurobindo, S. (2005). *The Life Divine*.

Among these texts, *The Ideal of Human Unity* serves as the principal source because it contains Aurobindo's most systematic discussion of nationalism, state formation, international organization, military centralization, and the prospects for world unity. *The Human Cycle* is utilized to understand the psychological foundations of social evolution, while *The Life Divine* provides the metaphysical framework underlying his conception of consciousness and human development.

2) Secondary Sources

Secondary sources include scholarly books, peer-reviewed journal articles, and academic studies related to:

1. Sri Aurobindo's political philosophy.
2. Human unity and social evolution.
3. Global governance and cosmopolitanism.
4. International relations theory.
5. Peace and conflict studies.
6. Global citizenship education.

Particular attention is given to works by Heehs (2008), Mahapatra (2004, 2020), Held (2010), Archibugi (2018), Galtung (1969), and other scholars whose contributions provide theoretical and comparative perspectives relevant to the present study.

B. Data Collection Procedure

The research follows a systematic process of textual selection and thematic organization. Relevant passages concerning military power, state formation, nationalism, conflict, global governance, world-state, and human unity were identified from the primary texts through close reading.

Subsequently, secondary literature was reviewed to identify major scholarly interpretations of Aurobindo's political thought and to situate his ideas within broader debates in political theory and international relations. The literature review focused particularly on discussions relating to peace, global governance, nationalism, and human consciousness.

The selected materials were organized into thematic categories corresponding to the principal concepts investigated in this study:

- Military unification and political integration.
- National egoism and conflict.
- World-state and global governance.
- Human unity and spiritual evolution.
- Peace education and global citizenship.

C. Analytical Framework

The analysis was conducted in three stages.

1) Stage One: Textual Analysis

The first stage involved close reading and interpretation of the primary texts. Key arguments relating to military power, political authority, and international organization were identified and examined within their broader philosophical context.

2) Stage Two: Thematic Analysis

The second stage employed thematic analysis to categorize recurring concepts and arguments. Themes emerging from the texts were systematically organized under broader conceptual categories such as military unification, national egoism, psychological transformation, and global peace.

Thematic analysis enabled the identification of relationships between structural mechanisms of governance and psychological dimensions of human development. This process

facilitated a deeper understanding of how Aurobindo integrates political and spiritual perspectives within a single framework.

3) Stage Three: Comparative Interpretation

The third stage involved comparative interpretation. Aurobindo's ideas were examined alongside major perspectives in international relations and peace studies, including realism, liberal institutionalism, cosmopolitanism, and positive peace theory.

This comparative approach serves two purposes. First, it highlights the distinctive contributions of Aurobindo's political philosophy. Second, it demonstrates the contemporary relevance of his ideas for ongoing discussions concerning global governance and international cooperation.

D. Trustworthiness and Scholarly Rigor

To enhance the credibility and trustworthiness of the study, several strategies were employed. First, triangulation was achieved through the use of multiple primary texts and diverse secondary sources. Second, interpretive consistency was maintained by examining concepts across different works rather than relying on isolated passages. Third, conceptual validation was undertaken by comparing interpretations with established scholarly analyses of Aurobindo's political thought.

Furthermore, the study follows principles of transparency and reflexivity commonly associated with qualitative research. Interpretations are grounded in textual evidence and supported through engagement with existing scholarship, thereby minimizing the risk of subjective or selective readings (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

E. Scope and Delimitations

The study focuses specifically on Sri Aurobindo's political philosophy as it relates to military unification, human unity, and global peace. While references are made to broader themes within his spiritual philosophy, the analysis does not attempt a comprehensive examination of his entire philosophical system. Similarly, although contemporary examples from international relations are discussed, the study remains primarily conceptual rather than empirical.

The objective is not to evaluate the practical feasibility of a world-state or world-union but rather to analyze the theoretical foundations and contemporary relevance of Aurobindo's vision of global order.

In summary, the methodology combines hermeneutic interpretation, conceptual analysis, thematic examination, and comparative political theory to provide a comprehensive understanding of Sri Aurobindo's conception of military unification and human unity. This approach is particularly suited to investigating the complex relationship between political institutions, psychological transformation, and global peace that lies at the center of Aurobindo's thought.

4. Analysis

A. Military Unification as a Historical Mechanism of Political Integration

Sri Aurobindo's analysis of political evolution begins with the recognition that military power has historically played a significant role in the formation and consolidation of states. In *The Ideal of Human Unity*, he argues that political communities rarely emerge through voluntary cooperation alone; rather, they are often unified through centralized authority supported by military force (Aurobindo, 1997). Throughout history, kingdoms, empires, and nation-states have relied on military organization to establish order, maintain territorial integrity, and suppress internal fragmentation.

From Aurobindo's perspective, military power performs both integrative and protective functions. Internally, it serves as the final guarantor of political unity when social cohesion is insufficient. Externally, it protects the community against rival political entities. This dual function explains why military institutions have remained central to political organization across different historical periods.

However, Aurobindo does not glorify military power. Instead, he treats it as a transitional necessity arising from the incomplete psychological development of humanity. Military unification may succeed in creating political order, but it does not generate genuine solidarity among people. Consequently, political unity based solely on force remains inherently unstable and vulnerable to future conflict.

B. National Egoism and the Persistence of Conflict

A central theme in Aurobindo's political thought is the concept of national egoism. According to him, modern nations frequently behave as collective egos pursuing power, prestige, and expansion at the expense of broader human welfare (Aurobindo, 1997). The nation-state thus becomes an enlarged expression of individual egoism.

This interpretation shifts the analysis of conflict from structural explanations to psychological causes. Wars are not simply the result of territorial disputes or economic competition; they emerge from deeper tendencies toward domination, exclusiveness, and collective self-assertion. Nationalism, when transformed into national egoism, produces conditions that perpetuate rivalry and violence.

The analysis reveals that Aurobindo's explanation remains relevant to contemporary international relations. Geopolitical conflicts frequently involve questions of identity, prestige, and national interest that transcend purely material considerations. His emphasis on psychological factors therefore provides a broader understanding of conflict than explanations based exclusively on power politics.

C. The Limits of Institutional and Military Solutions

Although Aurobindo recognizes the importance of political institutions, he remains skeptical of their capacity to establish lasting peace. International organizations, treaties, and alliances may regulate relations among states, but they cannot eliminate the underlying psychological causes of conflict.

This argument emerges clearly in his critique of international leagues and political federations. Such institutions often fail because they operate within a world still dominated by competing national interests. As long as national egoism remains intact, institutional arrangements are likely to reflect existing power asymmetries rather than genuine cooperation.

Similarly, the centralization of military authority under a global political structure may prevent interstate wars but cannot guarantee authentic unity. A world-state imposed through coercive means risks becoming authoritarian and suppressing cultural diversity. Therefore, institutional and military mechanisms should be viewed as facilitating conditions rather than ultimate solutions.

D. World-State, World-Union, and Human Unity

Aurobindo distinguishes between a coercive world-state and a voluntary world-union. While he acknowledges that increasing global interdependence may eventually require stronger forms of international organization, he rejects the notion that peace can be achieved through centralized political authority alone.

His preferred model is a world-union characterized by federative principles, cultural pluralism, and shared ethical commitments. Such a system seeks unity without uniformity and cooperation without domination. Nations retain their distinctive identities while participating in a broader framework of human solidarity.

This distinction demonstrates the originality of Aurobindo's political philosophy. Unlike traditional nationalism, which prioritizes national interests, and unlike rigid universalism, which risks cultural homogenization, his concept of human unity seeks to reconcile diversity with global integration.

E. Psychological and Spiritual Transformation as the Foundation of Peace

The most significant finding emerging from the analysis is Aurobindo's insistence that lasting peace requires psychological and spiritual transformation. Political institutions can regulate behavior, but they cannot transform consciousness. Human unity becomes possible only when individuals and societies transcend egoistic forms of identity and develop a broader awareness of their interconnectedness.

In *The Human Cycle*, Aurobindo describes social evolution as a progressive movement toward higher forms of collective consciousness. Peace is therefore not merely a political condition but an outcome of human development. The transition from conflict to cooperation depends upon the emergence of a consciousness capable of recognizing the unity underlying human diversity.

Consequently, military unification and political organization should be understood as provisional stages within a larger evolutionary process. The ultimate goal is not political domination but the realization of human unity through inner transformation.

5. Discussion

A. Reinterpreting Power Beyond Realism

The findings reveal that Aurobindo occupies a unique position within political theory. Like realist thinkers, he acknowledges the historical significance of power and force in maintaining political order. However, unlike realism, which treats conflict as an enduring feature of international relations, Aurobindo identifies its roots within collective consciousness.

This distinction is important because it shifts attention from external structures to internal motivations. While realism explains how conflicts occur, Aurobindo seeks to explain why human beings repeatedly generate conditions of conflict. His approach therefore expands the analytical framework of international relations by incorporating psychological and ethical dimensions.

B. Beyond Institutional Cosmopolitanism

Contemporary theories of global governance frequently emphasize institutional reform as the primary pathway to peace. Aurobindo shares the cosmopolitan aspiration for greater global cooperation but questions the assumption that institutions alone can overcome conflict.

The analysis suggests that his concept of world-union provides a more holistic alternative. Political integration remains necessary, but its success depends upon corresponding changes in consciousness. In this respect, Aurobindo anticipates contemporary critiques of technocratic governance that emphasize the importance of values, identity, and collective responsibility.

C. Relevance to Peace Studies

Aurobindo's theory contributes significantly to peace studies by extending the concept of peace beyond the absence of war. His emphasis on psychological transformation parallels contemporary understandings of positive peace, which focus on eliminating the structural and cultural conditions that generate violence.

The findings indicate that peace must be understood as both a political and psychological phenomenon. Sustainable peace requires institutions that prevent violence as well as educational and cultural processes that cultivate empathy, cooperation, and global responsibility.

D. Implications for Global Citizenship and Education

The analysis has important implications for education and global citizenship. If conflict originates partly from collective egoism, educational systems have a crucial role in promoting intercultural understanding and global consciousness.

Aurobindo's philosophy suggests that peace education should extend beyond conflict resolution toward the cultivation of ethical awareness and human unity. Such an approach aligns with contemporary efforts to promote global citizenship, intercultural dialogue, and education for sustainable development.

6. Conclusion

This study examined Sri Aurobindo's conception of military unification, national egoism, and human unity within the broader context of global peace and governance. The analysis demonstrates that Aurobindo regarded military power as a historically necessary instrument in the formation and preservation of political communities. Nevertheless, he consistently maintained that force alone cannot establish lasting peace.

The study further revealed that national egoism constitutes

the fundamental source of conflict. Political institutions and military arrangements may regulate behavior, but they cannot eliminate the psychological tendencies that generate division and violence. Consequently, sustainable peace requires both structural organization and inner transformation.

Aurobindo's distinction between a coercive world-state and a voluntary world-union provides an important contribution to contemporary debates on global governance. His vision seeks to reconcile political integration with cultural diversity and collective cooperation with individual freedom. Unlike approaches that rely exclusively on either power or institutions, Aurobindo proposes a multidimensional framework that integrates political, psychological, ethical, and spiritual dimensions of human development.

The central argument emerging from this study is that military unification may create conditions conducive to peace, but genuine and enduring global harmony depends upon the evolution of human consciousness toward unity, mutual respect, and cooperation. In an era characterized by increasing interdependence and persistent conflict, Aurobindo's philosophy offers a valuable framework for rethinking the relationship between political organization, human development, and the future of global peace.

Future research may explore the application of Aurobindo's concept of human unity to peace education, global citizenship curricula, intercultural dialogue, and emerging forms of international cooperation. Such investigations would further illuminate the contemporary relevance of his vision in addressing the complex challenges of the twenty-first century.

A. Limitations of the Study

While this study provides a comprehensive analysis of Sri Aurobindo's conception of military unification, human unity, and global peace, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the study is primarily conceptual and interpretive in nature, relying on textual analysis of Sri Aurobindo's writings and relevant secondary literature. Consequently, the findings are theoretical rather than empirical and do not assess the practical implementation or effectiveness of Aurobindo's ideas in contemporary political contexts.

Second, the analysis focuses predominantly on *The Ideal of Human Unity* and *The Human Cycle*, with selective references to other works. Although these texts represent the most relevant sources for understanding Aurobindo's political philosophy, a broader examination of his complete corpus, including his writings on education, culture, and spirituality, might yield additional insights into the relationship between consciousness, social transformation, and global governance.

Third, the study is limited by its emphasis on a single philosophical framework. While comparisons are made with realism, cosmopolitanism, and peace studies, the paper does not undertake a detailed comparative analysis of Aurobindo's ideas alongside other major thinkers such as Mahatma Gandhi, Rabindranath Tagore, Immanuel Kant, Johan Galtung, or contemporary theorists of global governance. Such comparisons could further illuminate the distinctive features and limitations of Aurobindo's approach.

Finally, concepts such as human unity, spiritual evolution, and transformation of consciousness are inherently abstract and difficult to operationalize within conventional social science methodologies. This presents challenges for empirical validation and practical application.

B. Future Research Directions

Future research may extend the present study in several directions. First, comparative studies could examine similarities and differences between Aurobindo's vision of world-union and other theories of global peace, cosmopolitan democracy, and non-violent social transformation. Such analyses would contribute to broader debates in political philosophy and international relations.

Second, empirical research could investigate the relevance of Aurobindo's ideas within contemporary peacebuilding initiatives, conflict resolution programs, and global citizenship education. Educational institutions, intercultural dialogue programs, and peace education curricula may provide valuable contexts for examining how concepts of human unity and consciousness transformation can be translated into practice.

Third, future scholarships may explore the implications of Aurobindo's political thought for emerging global challenges such as climate governance, artificial intelligence governance, digital citizenship, migration, and transnational cooperation. These issues increasingly require forms of collective action that transcend national boundaries and resonate with Aurobindo's vision of a more integrated humanity.

By bridging political institutions with psychological and spiritual development, future research can further assess the contemporary relevance of Aurobindo's philosophy as a framework for understanding and addressing the complex challenges of global peace and human unity in the twenty-first century.

References

[1] D. Archibugi, *The Global Commonwealth of Citizens: Toward Cosmopolitan Democracy*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 2008.

[2] D. Archibugi, *The Global Commonwealth of Citizens: Toward Cosmopolitan Democracy*, 2nd ed. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 2018.

[3] Sri Aurobindo, *The Human Cycle*. Puducherry, India: Sri Aurobindo Ashram Publication Department, 1997.

[4] Sri Aurobindo, *The Ideal of Human Unity*. Puducherry, India: Sri Aurobindo Ashram Publication Department, 1997.

[5] Sri Aurobindo, *The Life Divine*. Puducherry, India: Sri Aurobindo Ashram Publication Department, 2005.

[6] Sri Aurobindo, *The Human Cycle, The Ideal of Human Unity, War and Self-Determination*. Puducherry, India: Sri Aurobindo Ashram Publication Department, 2012.

[7] D. Banerji, "The nation-soul and the future of humanity: Revisiting Sri Aurobindo's political thought," *International Journal of Dharma Studies*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2013.

[8] M. Bevir and R. A. W. Rhodes, *Interpretive Political Science: Selected Essays*. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2016.

[9] E. Boulding, *Cultures of Peace: The Hidden Side of History*. Syracuse, NY, USA: Syracuse University Press, 2000.

[10] C. Brown, *International Relations Theory: New Normative Approaches*. New York, NY, USA: Columbia University Press, 1992.

[11] J. W. Creswell and C. N. Poth, *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*, 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Sage, 2018.

[12] M. W. Doyle, *Liberal Peace: Selected Essays*. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2011.
[13] R. Falk, On Humane Governance: Toward a New Global Politics. Cambridge, U.K.: Polity Press, 1995.
[14] H.-G. Gadamer, *Truth and Method*, 2nd rev. ed. London, U.K.: Continuum, 2004.
[15] J. Galtung, "Violence, peace, and peace research," *Journal of Peace Research*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 167–191, 1969.
[16] J. Galtung, Peace by Peaceful Means: Peace and Conflict, Development and Civilization. London, U.K.: Sage, 1996.
[17] A. K. Giri, "Knowledge and human liberation: Jürgen Habermas, Sri Aurobindo and beyond," *Contemporary South Asia*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 71–88, 2004.
[18] A. K. Giri, Creative Social Research: Rethinking Theories and Methods. Lanham, MD, USA: Lexington Books, 2006.
[19] J. Habermas, *The Divided West*. Cambridge, U.K.: Polity Press, 2006.
[20] D. Held, Democracy and the Global Order: From the Modern State to Cosmopolitan Governance. Cambridge, U.K.: Polity Press, 1995.
[21] D. Held, *Cosmopolitanism: Ideals and Realities*. Cambridge, U.K.: Polity Press, 2010.
[22] P. Heehs, *The Lives of Sri Aurobindo*. New York, NY, USA: Columbia University Press, 2008.
[23] R. O. Keohane, *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 1984.
[24] R. O. Keohane, *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*, rev. ed. Princeton, NJ, USA: Princeton University Press, 2005.
[25] Y. S. Lincoln and E. G. Guba, *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Thousand Oaks, CA, USA: Sage, 1985.
[26] D. A. Mahapatra, "From nation-state to ideal human unity: An analytical discourse in Sri Aurobindo's political philosophy," *The Indian Journal of Political Science*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 145–160, 2004.
[27] D. A. Mahapatra, "From nation-state to ideal human unity: An analytical discourse in Sri Aurobindo's political philosophy," in *The Philosophy of Sri Aurobindo*, D. A. Mahapatra, Ed. London, U.K.: Bloomsbury, 2020.
[28] D. A. Mahapatra, "Ideal of human unity," in *Sri Aurobindo at 150: An Integral Vision of Evolution, Human Unity, and Peace*. Singapore: Springer, 2023, pp. 55–94.
[29] D. A. Mahapatra, "Integral conflict resolution," in *Sri Aurobindo at 150: An Integral Vision of Evolution, Human Unity, and Peace*. Singapore: Springer, 2023, pp. 95–131.
[30] D. A. Mahapatra, "Sri Aurobindo in the twenty-first century," in *Sri Aurobindo at 150: An Integral Vision of Evolution, Human Unity, and Peace*. Singapore: Springer, 2023, pp. 133–152.
[31] H. J. Morgenthau, *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*. New York, NY, USA: Alfred A. Knopf, 1948.
[32] M. C. Nussbaum, *For Love of Country?*. Boston, MA, USA: Beacon Press, 1996.
[33] O. P. Richmond, *Peace in International Relations*. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2008.
[34] O. P. Richmond, *A Post-Liberal Peace*. London, U.K.: Routledge, 2011.
[35] J. N. Rosenau, "Governance in the twenty-first century," *Global Governance*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 13–43, 1995.
[36] S. Samanta and R. Bokshi, "A study of global peace: Based on Sri Aurobindo's political thinking, integral yoga and national integration," *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, vol. 5, no. 6, 2017.
[37] A. Sen, *Identity and Violence: The Illusion of Destiny*. New York, NY, USA: W. W. Norton, 2006.
[38] K. N. Waltz, *Theory of International Politics*. Reading, MA, USA: Addison-Wesley, 1979.
[39] A. Wendt, *Social Theory of International Politics*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 1999.
[40] O. R. Young, International Governance: Protecting the Environment in a Stateless Society. Ithaca, NY, USA: Cornell University Press, 1994.
[41] I. W. Zartman, *Peacemaking in International Conflict: Methods and Techniques*. Washington, DC, USA: United States Institute of Peace Press, 2007.